arXiv:1002.2685v2 [math.QA] 1 Jan 2011

A class of higher order Painlevé systems arising from integrable hierarchies of type A

Takao Suzuki
Department of Mathematics, Kobe University
Rokko, Kobe 657-8501, Japan
E-mail: suzukit@math.kobe-u.ac.jp

Abstract

A relationship between Painlevé systems and infinite-dimensional integrable hierarchies is studied. We derive a class of higher order Painlevé systems from Drinfeld-Sokolov (DS) hierarchies of type A by similarity reductions. This result allows us to understand some properties of Painlevé systems, Hamiltonian representations, affine Weyl group symmetries and Lax forms.

Key Words and Phrases: Affine Lie algebra, Integrable systems, Painlevé equations.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34M55, 17B80, 37K10.

1 Introduction

The connection between the second Painlevé equation and the KdV equation was clarified by Ablowitz and Segur [2]. Since their result, a relationship between (higher order) Painlevé systems and infinite-dimensional integrable hierarchies has been studied. In a recent work [7], a class of fourth order Painlevé systems was derived from the DS hierarchies of type A by similarity reductions. In this article, we give its development, namely, we derive a class of higher order Painlevé systems.

The DS hierarchies are extensions of the KdV hierarchy for the affine Lie algebras [5, 8]. They are characterized by the Heisenberg subalgebras of the affine Lie algebras. And the isomorphism classes of the Heisenberg subalgebras are in one-to-one correspondence with the conjugacy classes of the finite Weyl group [14]. Thus we can classify the DS hierarchies of type $A^{(1)}_n$ in terms of the partitions of the natural number $n+1$. By means of this viewpoint, we list the known connections between Painlevé systems and integrable hierarchies of type A in Table 1 and 2.

Table 1. Painlevé equations and DS hierarchy

Partition	(2)	$(1,1)$	(3)	$(2,1)$	$(1,1,1)$	(4)	$(2,2)$
Painlevé eq.	P_{II}	P_{IV}	P_{IV}	P_{V}	P_{VI}	P_{V}	P_{VI}
Ref.	[2]	[11]	[1]	[10]	[12]	[1]	[7]

Table 2. Higher order Painlevé systems and DS hierarchy

Partition	$(3,1)$	$(4,1)$	$(2,2,1)$	$(3,3)$	$(n+1)$ for $n \geq 4$
Painlevé sys.	$P(A_4)$	$P(A_5)$	$P(A_5^*)$	$P(A_5^*)$	$P(A_n)$
Order of sys.	4	4	4	4	n for n:even $n-1$ for n:odd
Ref.	[7]	[7]	[7]	[7]	[1, 15]

Here the symbol $P(A_n)$ stands for the higher order Painlevé system of type $A_n^{(1)}$ [15], or equivalently, the $(n+1)$-periodic Darboux chain [1]. The symbol $P(A_5^*)$ stands for the fourth order Painlevé system with the coupled sixth Painlevé Hamiltonian [7]; we describe its explicit formula below.

In this article, we consider a higher order generalization of the above facts. The obtained results are listed in Table 3.

Table 3. The result obtained in this article

Partition	$(2n-1,1)$	$(2n,1)$	$(n,n,1)$	$(n+1,n+1)$
Painlevé sys.	$P(A_{2n})$	$P(A_{2n+1})$	$P(A_{2n+1}^*)$	$P(A_{2n+1}^*)$
Order of sys.	$2n$	$2n$	$2n$	$2n$
Ref.	Sec.4	Sec.5	Sec.6	Sec.3

The Painlevé system $P(A_{2n+1}^*)$ is a Hamiltonian system

$$\frac{dq_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}, \quad \frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n),$$

with a coupled Painlevé VI Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} t(t-1)H = {} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{\mathrm{VI}}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{2j+1} - \alpha_{2i-1} - \eta, \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \alpha_{2j}, \sum_{j=i}^{n} \alpha_{2j}, \alpha_{2i-1}\eta; q_i, p_i\right] \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (q_i - 1)(q_j - t)\{(q_i p_i + \alpha_{2i-1})p_j + p_i(p_j q_j + \alpha_{2j-1})\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathrm{VI}}[\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \kappa_t, \kappa; q, p] = {} & q(q-1)(q-t)p^2 - \kappa_0(q-1)(q-t)p \\ & - \kappa_1 q(q-t)p - (\kappa_t - 1)q(q-1)p + \kappa q. \end{aligned}$$

Here the parameters $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{2n+1}$ satisfy the relation $\sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} \alpha_i = 1$. This system admits the affine Weyl group symmetry of type $A_{2n+1}^{(1)}$ and has a Lax form associated with the loop algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n+2}[z, z^{-1}]$; we discuss their details in Section 3. Note that $P(A_3^*)$ is equivalent to the sixth Painlevé equation.

Remark 1.1. *The regular conjugacy classes of* $W(A_n)$ *correspond to the partitions* $(p, \ldots, p)$ *and* $(p, \ldots, p, 1)$*; cf.* [4, 6]*. Therefore any hierarchy in Table 1, 2 and 3 is associated with the regular conjugacy class of* $W(A_n)$*.*

Remark 1.2. *The DS hierarchy for the partition* $(n+1, n+1)$ *is equivalent to the* $(n+1, n+1)$*-periodic reduction of the two-component KP hierarchy; cf.* [3, 20]*.*

Remark 1.3. *The higher order Painlevé system of type* $D_{2n+2}^{(1)}$ *was proposed by Sasano* [18]*. It is expressed as a Hamiltonian system of* $2n$*-th order with a coupled sixth Painlevé Hamiltonian, as well as* $P(A_{2n+1}^*)$*. The relationship between those two systems is not clarified.*

Remark 1.4. *Recently, the system* $P(A_{2n+1}^*)$ *is derived independently by Tsuda* [19] *via a similarity reduction of the UC hierarchy. According to it,* $P(A_{2n+1}^*)$ *is given as the monodromy preserving deformation of a Fuchsian differential equation with a spectral type*

$$(1^{n+1}), \quad (1^{n+1}), \quad (n, 1), \quad (n, 1).$$

Furthermore, $P(A_5^*)$ *appears in the classification of the fourth order isomonodromy equations* [17]*.*

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first recall the affine Lie algebra of type $A_n^{(1)}$. We next formulate the DS hierarchies of type $A_n^{(1)}$ and their similarity reductions. In Section 3, we derive the Painlevé system $P(A_{2n+1}^*)$ from the hierarchy for the partition $(n+1, n+1)$. We also discuss a group of symmetries and a Lax form for $P(A_{2n+1}^*)$. In Section 4, 5 and 6, we derive $P(A_{2n})$, $P(A_{2n+1})$ and $P(A_{2n+1}^*)$ from the hierarchy for a partition $(2n-1, 1)$, $(2n, 1)$ and $(n, n, 1)$, respectively.

2 DS hierarchy

In this section, we first recall the affine Lie algebra of type $A_n^{(1)}$, following the notation in [9, 7]. We next formulate the DS hierarchies of type $A_n^{(1)}$ and their similarity reductions. The Lax forms of the similarity reductions are also proposed in a framework of the loop algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}[z, z^{-1}]$.

2.1 Affine Lie algebra

The affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}(A_n^{(1)})$ is a Kac-Moody Lie algebra whose generalized Cartan matrix $A = [a_{i,j}]_{i,j=0}^n$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &a_{i,i} = 2 && (i = 0, \ldots, n),\\ &a_{i,i+1} = a_{n,0} = a_{i+1,i} = a_{0,n} = -1 && (i = 0, \ldots, n-1),\\ &a_{i,j} = 0 && (\text{otherwise}). \end{aligned}$$

It is generated by the Chevalley generators $e_i, f_i, \alpha_i^\vee$ $(i = 0, \ldots, n)$ and the scaling element d with the fundamental relations

$$\begin{aligned} &[\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j^\vee] = 0, \quad [\alpha_i^\vee, e_j] = a_{i,j} e_j, \quad [\alpha_i^\vee, f_j] = -a_{i,j} f_j, \quad [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{i,j} \alpha_i^\vee,\\ &[d, \alpha_i^\vee] = 0, \quad [d, e_i] = \delta_{i,0} e_0, \quad [d, f_i] = -\delta_{i,0} f_0,\\ &(\mathrm{ad} e_i)^{1-a_{i,j}}(e_j) = 0, \quad (\mathrm{ad} f_i)^{1-a_{i,j}}(f_j) = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \end{aligned}$$

for $i, j = 0, \ldots, n$. The canonical central element of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given by

$$K = \alpha_0^\vee + \alpha_1^\vee + \ldots + \alpha_n^\vee.$$

The normalized invariant form is given by the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &(\alpha_i^\vee | \alpha_j^\vee) = a_{i,j}, && (e_i | f_j) = \delta_{i,j}, && (\alpha_i^\vee | e_j) = (\alpha_i^\vee | f_j) = 0,\\ &(d|d) = 0, && (d | \alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{0,j}, && (d | e_j) = (d | f_j) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $i, j = 0, \ldots, n$. We set

$$e_{i,j} = \mathrm{ad} e_i \mathrm{ad} e_{i+1} \ldots \mathrm{ad} e_{i+j-1}(e_{i+j}), \quad f_{i,j} = \mathrm{ad} f_{i+j} \mathrm{ad} f_{i+j-1} \ldots \mathrm{ad} f_{i+1}(f_i),$$

where $e_{i+n+1} = e_i$ and $f_{i+n+1} = f_i$.

The Cartan subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}\alpha_0^\vee \oplus \mathbb{C}\alpha_1^\vee \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}\alpha_n^\vee \oplus \mathbb{C}d.$$

Let $\mathfrak{n}_+$ and $\mathfrak{n}_-$ be the subalgebras of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ generated by e_i and f_i $(i = 0, \ldots, n)$, respectively. Then the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_+$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given by $\mathfrak{b}_+ = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$. Note that we have the triangular decomposition

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+ = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{b}_+.$$

The isomorphism classes of the Heisenberg subalgebras of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with partitions of the natural number $n + 1$. Let

$\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ be a partition of $n+1$. Then the corresponding Heisenberg subalgebra is defined by

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{n}} = \mathcal{P}_{n_1-1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{P}_{n_k-1} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{k-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}K,$$

where $\mathcal{P}_n \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ and $\mathcal{H}_n \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ are isomorphic to the principal and homogeneous Heisenberg subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(A_n^{(1)})$, respectively [4].

The partition $\mathbf{n}$ determines a grading operator $\vartheta_{\mathbf{n}} \in \mathfrak{h}$, whose explicit formula is not given here (see Section 3 of [7]). The operator $\vartheta_{\mathbf{n}}$ defines a $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation of type $\mathbf{s}$ by

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_k(\mathbf{s}), \quad \mathfrak{g}_k(\mathbf{s}) = \left\{ x \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \;\middle|\; [\vartheta_{\mathbf{n}}, x] = kx \right\},$$

where $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \ldots, s_n)$ is a vector of non-negative integers given by

$$(\vartheta_{\mathbf{n}} | \alpha_i^\vee) = s_i \quad (i = 0, \ldots, n).$$

Note that

$$[\vartheta_{\mathbf{n}}, e_i] = s_i e_i, \quad [\vartheta_{\mathbf{n}}, f_i] = -s_i f_i \quad (i = 0, \ldots, n).$$

The Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{n}}$ admits the gradation defined by $\vartheta_{\mathbf{n}}$.

2.2 DS hierarchy and similarity reduction

The positive part of the Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{n}}$ has a graded basis $\{\Lambda_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$[\Lambda_k, \Lambda_l] = 0, \quad [\vartheta_{\mathbf{n}}, \Lambda_k] = d_k \Lambda_k \quad (k, l \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where d_k is a positive integer. We assume that $d_k \leq d_{k+1}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In this subsection, we formulate the DS hierarchy associated with $\mathfrak{s}_{\mathbf{n}}$ by using those Λ_k.

Introducing time variables t_k $(k \in \mathbb{N})$, we consider the Sato equation for $\mathfrak{n}_-$-valued function $W = W(t_1, t_2, \ldots)$

$$\partial_k - B_k = \exp(\mathrm{ad}W)(\partial_k - \Lambda_k) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\partial_k = \partial/\partial t_k$ and B_k stands for the b_+-component of $\exp(\mathrm{ad}W)(\Lambda_k)$. The compatibility condition of (2.1) gives the DS hierarchy

$$[\partial_k - B_k, \partial_l - B_l] = 0 \quad (k, l \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{2.2}$$

We now require a similarity condition

$$\vartheta_{\mathbf{n}} - \rho - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k t_k \partial_k = \exp(\mathrm{ad} W) \left(\vartheta_{\mathbf{n}} - \rho - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k t_k \partial_k \right), \tag{2.3}$$

with an element $\rho \in \mathfrak{h}$ satisfying

$$[\partial_k, \rho] = 0, \quad [\Lambda_k, \rho] = 0 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then the compatibility condition of (2.1) and (2.3) gives

$$[\vartheta_{\mathbf{n}} - M, \partial_k - B_k] = 0 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$M = \rho + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k t_k B_k.$$

We call the system (2.2) and (2.4) a similarity reduction of the DS hierarchy. Note that M is the $\mathfrak{b}_+$-component of $\exp(\mathrm{ad} W)(\rho + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k t_k \Lambda_k)$.

In the following section, we always assume that $t_2 = 1$ and $t_k = 0$ for any $k \geq 3$. Under this specialization, the similarity reduction is described as a system of ordinary differential equations

$$[\vartheta_{\mathbf{n}} - M, \partial_1 - B_1] = 0, \quad M = \rho + d_1 t_1 B_1 + d_2 B_2, \tag{2.5}$$

from which the Painlevé system is derived.

2.3 Lax form

The affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ can be identified with the loop algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}[z, z^{-1}]$ under the specialization $K = 0$. In this subsection, we propose a Lax form of the similarity reduction in a framework of $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}[z, z^{-1}]$.

Let $E_{i,j}$ be a $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix with 1 on the (i,j)-th entry and zeros elsewhere. For each partition $\mathbf{n}$, we define the graded Chevalley generators of $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}[z, z^{-1}]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &e_0 = z^{s_0} E_{n+1,1}, \quad f_0 = z^{-s_0} E_{1,n+1}, \quad \alpha_0^{\vee} = E_{n+1,n+1} - E_{1,1}, \\ &e_i = z^{s_i} E_{i,i+1}, \quad f_i = z^{-s_i} E_{i+1,i}, \quad \alpha_i^{\vee} = E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n), \end{aligned}$$

and the grading operator by $\vartheta_{\mathbf{n}} = z d/dz$. Recall that $\vartheta_{\mathbf{n}}$ implies the $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation of type $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \ldots, s_n)$. The Lie bracket is given by

$$[z^k X, z^l Y] = z^{k+l}(XY - YX) \quad (k, l \in \mathbb{Z}; X, Y \in \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}).$$

In a framework of $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}[z, z^{-1}]$, the Sato equation (2.1) and the similarity condition (2.3) are described as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_k} \exp W = B_k \exp W - \exp W \Lambda_k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$
$$z\frac{d}{dz} \exp W = M \exp W - \exp W \left(\rho + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k t_k \Lambda_k \right).$$

Under them, we consider a wave function

$$\Psi = \exp W z^{\rho} \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k \Lambda_k \right).$$

Then we obtain a system of linear differential equations

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t_k} = B_k \Psi \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}), \quad z\frac{d\Psi}{dz} = M\Psi. \tag{2.6}$$

The system (2.6) is the Lax form of the similarity reduction (2.2) and (2.4). In fact, the compatibility condition of (2.6) gives

$$\frac{\partial B_k}{\partial t_l} - \frac{\partial B_l}{\partial t_k} = [B_l, B_k], \quad \frac{\partial M}{\partial t_k} - z\frac{dB_k}{dz} = [B_k, M] \quad (k, l \in \mathbb{N}).$$

3 For the partition $(n+1, n+1)$

In this section, we derive the Painlevé system $P(A^*_{2n+1})$ from the similarity reduction (2.5) for the partition $(n+1, n+1)$. We also discuss a group of symmetries and a Lax form for $P(A^*_{2n+1})$. Indices of the Chevalley generators and variables are congruent modulo $2n+2$ in this section.

3.1 Similarity reduction of the DS hierarchy

At first, we give an explicit formula of the Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}_{(n+1,n+1)}$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}(A^{(1)}_{2n+1})$ following [3, 7, 13]. Let

$$\Lambda_{2k-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} e_{2i+1,2k-1}, \quad \Lambda_{2k} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} e_{2i+2,2k-1},$$
$$\bar{\Lambda}_{2k-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{2i+1,2k-1}, \quad \bar{\Lambda}_{2k} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{2i+2,2k-1},$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathfrak{s}_{(n+1,n+1)}$ is expressed as

$$\mathfrak{s}_{(n+1,n+1)} = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus (2n+2)\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}\bar{\Lambda}_k \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus (2n+2)\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}\Lambda_k.$$

The grading operator $\vartheta_{(n+1,n+1)}$ is given by

$$\vartheta_{(n+1,n+1)} = (n+1)d + \sum_{i=0}^{n} i(n-i+1)\alpha_{2i}^{\vee} + \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(2i+1)n - 2i^2}{2}\alpha_{2i+1}^{\vee}.$$

It implies a $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation of type $(1,0,\dots,1,0)$, namely

$$(\vartheta_{(n+1,n+1)}|\alpha_{2i}^{\vee}) = 1, \quad (\vartheta_{(n+1,n+1)}|\alpha_{2i+1}^{\vee}) = 0,$$

for $i = 0,\dots,n$. Note that

$$[\vartheta_{(n+1,n+1)}, \Lambda_{2k-1}] = k\Lambda_{2k-1}, \quad [\vartheta_{(n+1,n+1)}, \Lambda_{2k}] = k\Lambda_{2k} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The similarity reduction (2.5) associated with $\mathfrak{s}_{(n+1,n+1)}$ is described as

$$[\vartheta_{(n+1,n+1)} - M, \partial_1 - B_1] = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$B_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} u_i\alpha_i^{\vee} + \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} x_i e_i + \Lambda_1, \quad M = \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} \kappa_i\alpha_i^{\vee} + \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} \varphi_i e_i + t_1\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2.$$

Note that

$$\Lambda_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} e_{2i+1,1}, \quad \Lambda_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} e_{2i+2,1}.$$

In terms of those variables, the system (3.1) is expressed as

$$\partial_1(\kappa_i) = 0, \quad \partial_1(\varphi_i) = (u|\alpha_i^{\vee})\varphi_i + x_i(\kappa|\alpha_i^{\vee}), \tag{3.2}$$

for $i = 0,\dots,2n+1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(u|\alpha_{2i}^{\vee} + \alpha_{2i+1}^{\vee}) - x_{2i+1}\varphi_{2i} + x_{2i}\varphi_{2i+1} = 0,\\
&t_1(u|\alpha_{2i+1}^{\vee} + \alpha_{2i+2}^{\vee}) - x_{2i+2}\varphi_{2i+1} + x_{2i+1}\varphi_{2i+2} + (\kappa|\alpha_{2i+1}^{\vee} + \alpha_{2i+2}^{\vee}) = 1,\\
&t_1x_{2i} - x_{2i+2} - \varphi_{2i} = 0, \quad x_{2i+1} - t_1x_{2i+3} + \varphi_{2i+3} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for $i = 0,\dots,n$, where

$$u = \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} u_i\alpha_i^{\vee}, \quad \kappa = \vartheta_{(n+1,n+1)} - \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} \kappa_i\alpha_i^{\vee}.$$

In the next subsection, we express the system (3.2) with (3.3) as a Hamiltonian system.

3.2 Hamiltonian system

The operators B_1 and M are defined as the $\mathfrak{b}_+$-components of $\exp(\mathrm{ad}W)(\Lambda_1)$ and $\exp(\mathrm{ad}W)(\rho + t_1\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)$, respectively. The $\mathfrak{h}$-valued operator ρ is given by

$$\rho = \rho_1 \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha^\vee_{2i+1},$$

where ρ_1 is independent of t_1. By using this fact, we derive a Hamiltonian system.

Let

$$W = -\sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} w_i f_i - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} w_{i,k} f_{i,k}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & u_{2i} = -\frac{1}{2}w_{2i-1}w_{2i} + w_{2i-1,1}, \quad u_{2i+1} = \frac{1}{2}w_{2i+1}w_{2i+2} + w_{2i+1,1}, \\ & x_{2i} = -w_{2i-1}, \quad x_{2i+1} = w_{2i+2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \kappa_{2i} = -\frac{t_1}{2}w_{2i-1}w_{2i} + t_1 w_{2i-1,1} + \frac{1}{2}w_{2i}w_{2i+1} + w_{2i,1}, \\ & \kappa_{2i+1} = -\frac{1}{2}w_{2i}w_{2i+1} + w_{2i,1} + \frac{t_1}{2}w_{2i+1}w_{2i+2} + t_1 w_{2i+1,1} + \rho_1, \\ & \varphi_{2i} = -t_1 w_{2i-1} + w_{2i+1}, \quad \varphi_{2i+1} = -w_{2i} + t_1 w_{2i+2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

for $i = 0, \ldots, n$. The equations (3.4) and (3.5) imply

Lemma 3.1. *The $\mathfrak{b}_+$-valued functions B_1 and M can be expressed in terms of the dependent variables w_{2i+1}, φ_{2i+1} $(i = 0, \ldots, n)$ as*

$$\begin{aligned} & u_{2i} - u_{2i+1} = -\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{t_1^{j-1}}{t_1^{n+1} - 1} w_{2i+1}\varphi_{2i+2j+1} + \frac{1}{t_1}(\rho_1 + \kappa_{2i} - \kappa_{2i+1}), \\ & u_{2i+1} - u_{2i+2} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{t_1^{j}}{t_1^{n+1} - 1} w_{2i+1}\varphi_{2i+2j+3}, \\ & x_{2i} = -w_{2i-1}, \quad x_{2i+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{t_1^{j}}{t_1^{n+1} - 1} \varphi_{2i+2j+3}, \\ & \varphi_{2i} = -t_1 w_{2i-1} + w_{2i+1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$. Furthermore, those variables satisfy

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} w_{2i+1}\varphi_{2i+1} = -\sum_{i=0}^{n} (\rho_1 + \kappa_{2i} - \kappa_{2i+1}).$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.1, we can express the system (3.2) with (3.3) as a system of ordinary differential equations in terms of the variables w_{2i+1}, φ_{2i+1} $(i = 0, \dots, n)$. Note that those variables are taken from the $\mathfrak{g}_0(1, 0, \dots, 1, 0)$-components of W and M. We also remark that

$$w_{2i+1} = -\sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{t_1^j}{t_1^{n+1} - 1} \varphi_{2i-2j} \quad (i = 0, \dots, n).$$

Following [16], we define the Kostant-Kirillov structure for the operator M by

$$\{\varphi_{2i}, \varphi_{2i+1}\} = -(n+1), \quad \{\varphi_{2i+1}, \varphi_{2i+2}\} = -(n+1)t_1 \quad (i = 0, \dots, n).$$

Then we arrive to

Theorem 3.2. *In terms of the variables* w_{2i+1}, φ_{2i+1} $(i = 0, \dots, n)$ *with the Poisson structure*

$$\{\varphi_{2i+1}, w_{2j+1}\} = (n+1)\delta_{i,j} \quad (i, j = 0, \dots, n),$$

the similarity reduction (3.1) *is expressed as the Hamiltonian system*

$$\partial_1(w_{2i+1}) = \{H, w_{2i+1}\}, \quad \partial_1(\varphi_{2i+1}) = \{H, \varphi_{2i+1}\} \quad (i = 0, \dots, n), \tag{3.6}$$

with the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H = &\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{n}{2(n+1)^2 t_1} (w_{2i+1}\varphi_{2i+1} + 2\kappa_{2i} - 2\kappa_{2i+1}) w_{2i+1}\varphi_{2i+1} \\ &- \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2 t_1} (w_{2i+2j+1}\varphi_{2i+2j+1} + \kappa_{2i+2j} - \kappa_{2i+2j+1}) w_{2i+1}\varphi_{2i+1} \\ &- \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{t_1^j}{(n+1)(t_1^{n+1} - 1)} \{w_{2i+1}\varphi_{2i+1} + (\kappa|\alpha_{2i+1}^\vee)\} w_{2i+1}\varphi_{2i+2j+3}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

and the relation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} w_{2i+1}\varphi_{2i+1} = -\sum_{i=0}^{n} (\rho_1 + \kappa_{2i} - \kappa_{2i+1}). \tag{3.8}$$

The system (3.6) with (3.7) and (3.8) can be rewritten into the Hamiltonian system in terms of the canonical coordinates. The equation (3.8) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{n} d\varphi_{2i+1}\wedge dw_{2i+1} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d\varphi_{2i+1}\wedge dw_{2i+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d\frac{w_{2i+1}\varphi_{2i+1}}{w_{2n+1}}\wedge dw_{2n+1}\\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(w_{2n+1}\varphi_{2i+1})\wedge d\frac{w_{2i+1}}{w_{2n+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can take

$$q_i = \frac{w_{2i-1}}{t_1^i w_{2n+1}},\quad p_i = \frac{t_1^i w_{2n+1}\varphi_{2i-1}}{n+1}\quad (i=1,\dots,n), \tag{3.9}$$

as canonical coordinates of a $2n$-dimensional system with a Poisson structure

$$\{p_i, q_j\} = \delta_{i,j}\quad (i,j=1,\dots,n).$$

We denote the parameters by

$$\alpha_i = \frac{(\kappa|\alpha_i^\vee)}{n+1}\quad (i=0,\dots,2n+1),\quad \eta = \sum_{j=0}^{n}\frac{\rho_1+\kappa_{2j}-\kappa_{2j+1}}{n+1}.$$

Via a transformation of the independent variable $t = t_1^{-(n+1)}$, we obtain

Corollary 3.3. *The variables q_i, p_i $(i=1,\dots,n)$ defined by* (3.9) *satisfy the Painlevé system $P(A_{2n+1}^*)$. Then the variable w_{2n+1} satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}t(t-1)\frac{d}{dt}\log w_{2n+1} &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n}\{(q_i-1)(q_i-t)p_i + \alpha_{2i-1}q_i\} - \alpha_{2n+1}\\ &\qquad + \frac{nt+n+2}{n+1}\eta + \sum_{i=0}^{n}\frac{n-2i}{2n+2}(\alpha_{2i-1}+\alpha_{2i})(t-1).\end{aligned}$$

We remark that the parameter η satisfies

$$\{\eta, q_i\} = \{\eta, p_i\} = 0\quad (i=1,\dots,n),\quad \{\eta, w_{2n+1}\} = w_{2n+1}.$$

Thus the Poisson algebra generated by w_{2i+1}, φ_{2i+1} $(i=0,\dots,n)$ is equivalent to one generated by q_i, p_i $(i=1,\dots,n)$, w_{2n+1} and η.

3.3 Affine Weyl group symmetry

The Painlevé system $P(A^*_{2n+1})$ admits the affine Weyl group symmetry of type $A^{(1)}_{2n+1}$. In this section, we describe its action on the dependent variables and parameters.

Recall that the affine Weyl group of type $A^{(1)}_{2n+1}$ is generated by the transformations r_i $(i = 0, \ldots, 2n+1)$ with the fundamental relations

$$\begin{aligned} &r_i^2 = 1 && (i = 0, \ldots, 2n+1), \\ &(r_i r_j)^{2-a_{i,j}} = 0 && (i, j = 0, \ldots, 2n+1; i \neq j). \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &a_{i,i} = 2 && (i = 0, \ldots, 2n+1), \\ &a_{i,i+1} = a_{2n+1,0} = a_{i+1,i} = a_{0,2n+1} = -1 && (i = 0, \ldots, 2n), \\ &a_{i,j} = 0 && (\text{otherwise}). \end{aligned}$$

Under the Sato equation (2.1) and (2.3), we consider gauge transformations

$$r_i(\exp W) = \exp(\gamma_i f_i) \exp W \quad (i = 0, \ldots, 2n+1).$$

They imply the transformations for the similarity reduction (3.1)

$$r_i(\vartheta_{(n+1,n+1)} - M) = \exp(\mathrm{ad}\gamma_i f_i)(\vartheta_{(n+1,n+1)} - M) \quad (i = 0, \ldots, 2n+1).$$

We look for the gauge parameters $\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_{2n+1}$ such that $r_i(\vartheta_{(n+1,n+1)} - M) \in \mathfrak{b}_+$. Then we can show that

$$\gamma_i = -\frac{(\kappa|\alpha_i^\vee)}{\varphi_i} \quad (i = 0, \ldots, 2n+1).$$

Such transformations give the group of symmetries for the Hamiltonian system.

Theorem 3.4. *The Hamiltonian system* (3.6) *with* (3.7) *and* (3.8) *is invariant under the birational transformations defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} &r_{2i}(w_{2j+1}) = w_{2j+1}, \\ &r_{2i}(\varphi_{2j+1}) = \varphi_{2j+1} + \frac{(\kappa|\alpha_{2i}^\vee)\{t_1 w_{2i-1} - w_{2i+1}, \varphi_{2j+1}\}}{(n+1)(t_1 w_{2i-1} - w_{2i+1})}, \\ &r_{2i+1}(w_{2j+1}) = w_{2j+1} + \frac{(\kappa|\alpha_{2i+1}^\vee)\{\varphi_{2i+1}, w_{2j+1}\}}{(n+1)\varphi_{2i+1}}, \\ &r_{2i+1}(\varphi_{2j+1}) = \varphi_{2j+1}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

for $i, j = 0, \dots, n$ *and*

$$r_i(\kappa_j) = \kappa_j + (\kappa|\alpha_i^\vee) \quad (i, j = 0, \dots, 2n+1). \tag{3.11}$$

Furthermore, a group of symmetries $\langle r_0, \dots, r_{2n+1} \rangle$ *is isomorphic to the affine Weyl group of type* $A_{2n+1}^{(1)}$.

Corollary 3.5. *The Painlevé system* $P(A_{2n+1}^*)$ *is invariant under the birational canonical transformations* $r_0, \dots, r_{2n+1}$ *defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&r_0(q_j) = q_j, \quad r_0(p_j) = p_j - \frac{\alpha_0}{q_1 - 1}\{p_j, q_1\}, \\
&r_{2i-1}(q_j) = q_j + \frac{\alpha_{2i-1}}{p_i}\{p_i, q_j\}, \quad r_{2i-1}(p_j) = p_j \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \\
&r_{2i}(q_j) = q_j, \quad r_{2i}(p_j) = p_j - \frac{\alpha_{2i}}{q_i - q_{i+1}}\{p_j, q_i - q_{i+1}\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1), \\
&r_{2n}(q_j) = q_j, \quad r_{2n}(p_j) = p_j - \frac{\alpha_{2n}}{q_n - t}\{p_j, q_n\}, \\
&r_{2n+1}(q_j) = q_j + \frac{\alpha_{2n+1} q_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n q_j p_j + \eta}, \quad r_{2n+1}(p_j) = p_j - \frac{\alpha_{2n+1} p_j}{\sum_{j=1}^n q_j p_j + \eta},
\end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$ *and*

$$r_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j - a_{i,j}\alpha_i, \quad r_i(\eta) = \eta + (-1)^i \alpha_i \quad (i, j = 0, \dots, 2n+1).$$

3.4 Lax form

In the previous subsection, the Painlevé system $P(A_{2n+1}^*)$ has been derived. In this subsection, we give its Lax form in a framework of the loop algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n+2}[z, z^{-1}]$.

Under the specialization $t_1 = t^{-1/(n+1)}$, $t_2 = 1$ and $t_k = 0$ $(k \geq 3)$, the Lax form (2.6) is described as

$$t(t-1)\frac{d\Psi}{dt} = B\Psi, \quad z\frac{d\Psi}{dz} = M\Psi. \tag{3.12}$$

The matrix B is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
B = &\sum_{i=1}^{2n+2} u_i' E_{i,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_{2i-1}' E_{2i-1,2i} + x_0' z E_{2n+2,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2i}' z E_{2i,2i+1} \\
&- \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{t-1}{(n+1)t^{1/(n+1)}} z E_{2i-1,2i+1} - \frac{t-1}{(n+1)t^{1/(n+1)}} z E_{2n+1,1},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$u'_{2i-1} = -\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} q_i(q_j-1)p_j - \sum_{j=i}^{n} q_i(q_j-t)p_j - \eta q_i$$
$$+\frac{t-1}{n+1}\eta + \sum_{j=0}^{n}\frac{n-2j}{2n+2}(\alpha_{2i+2j-3}+\alpha_{2i+2j-2})(t-1),$$
$$u'_{2i} = \sum_{j=1}^{i} q_i(q_j-1)p_j + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} q_i(q_j-t)p_j + \eta q_i,$$
$$u'_{2n+1} = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} t(q_j-1)p_j - \frac{nt+1}{n+1}\eta - \sum_{j=0}^{n}\frac{n-2j}{2n+2}(\alpha_{2n+2j-1}+\alpha_{2n+2j})(t-1),$$
$$u'_{2n+2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n}(q_j-t)p_j + \eta,$$

and

$$x'_{2i-1} = -\frac{t^{i/(n+1)}}{w_{2n+1}}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{i}(q_j-1)p_j + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n}(q_j-t)p_j + \eta\right\},$$
$$x'_{2n+1} = -\frac{1}{w_{2n+1}}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}(q_j-t)p_j + \eta\right\},$$
$$x'_0 = \frac{(t-1)w_{2n+1}}{(n+1)t^{1/(n+1)}}, \quad x'_{2i} = \frac{(t-1)w_{2n+1}}{(n+1)t^{(i+1)/(n+1)}}q_i,$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The matrix M is given by

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+2}(\kappa_i - \kappa_{i-1})E_{i,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\varphi_{2i-1}E_{2i-1,2i} + \varphi_0 z E_{2n+2,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\varphi_{2i} z E_{2i,2i+1}$$
$$+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{t^{1/(n+1)}}zE_{2i-1,2i+1} + \frac{1}{t^{1/(n+1)}}zE_{2n+1,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} zE_{2i,2i+2} + zE_{2n+2,2},$$

where

$$\varphi_{2i-1} = \frac{(n+1)t^{i/(n+1)}}{w_{2n+1}}p_i \quad (i=1,\ldots,n),$$
$$\varphi_{2n+1} = -\frac{n+1}{w_{2n+1}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j p_j + \eta\right), \quad \varphi_0 = -\frac{w_{2n+1}}{t^{1/(n+1)}}(1-q_1),$$
$$\varphi_{2i} = -\frac{w_{2n+1}}{t^{(i+1)/(n+1)}}(q_i - q_{i+1}) \quad (i=1,\ldots,n-1), \quad \varphi_{2n} = -\frac{w_{2n+1}}{t}(q_n - t).$$

Proposition 3.6. *The compatibility condition of the Lax form* (3.12) *gives the Painlevé system* $P(A^*_{2n+1})$.

Remark 3.7. *The group of symmetries defined in Section 3.3 arises from the gauge transformations for the Lax form*

$$r_i(\Psi) = \exp\left(-\frac{(\kappa|\alpha_i^\vee)}{\varphi_i} f_i\right)\Psi \quad (i = 0, \dots, 2n+1).$$

4 For the partition $(2n-1,1)$

The Painlevé system $P(A_{2n})$ is a Hamiltonian system of $2n$-th order with a coupled Painlevé IV Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{\mathrm{IV}}\left[\alpha_{2i}, \sum_{j=1}^{i}\alpha_{2j-1}; q_i, p_i\right] + \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} 2q_ip_ip_j,$$

where

$$H_{\mathrm{IV}}[a,b;q,p] = qp(p-q-t) - aq - bp.$$

It is known that $P(A_{2n})$ is derived from the hierarchy for the partition $(2n+1)$. In this section, we discuss its derivation from the hierarchy for a partition $(2n-1,1)$, from which we obtain a new Lax pair for $P(A_{2n})$.

4.1 Similarity reduction of the DS hierarchy

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{k+(2n-1)(l-1)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1-k} e_{i,k-1+(2n-1)(l-1)} + \sum_{i=2n-k}^{2n-1} e_{i,k+(2n-1)(l-1)},\\
\bar{\Lambda}_{k+(2n-1)(l-1)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1-k} f_{i,k-1+(2n-1)(l-1)} + \sum_{i=2n-k}^{2n-1} f_{i,k+(2n-1)(l-1)},
\end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, 2n-1$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}_{(2n-1,1)}$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}(A^{(1)}_{2n-1})$ is expressed as

$$\mathfrak{s}_{(2n-1,1)} = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}\bar{\Lambda}_k \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}\Lambda_k.$$

The grading operator $\vartheta_{(2n-1,1)}$ is given by

$$\vartheta_{(2n-1,1)} = (2n-1)d + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \frac{i}{2}\left(2n - i - \frac{1}{n}\right)\alpha_i^\vee.$$

It implies a $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation of type $(1,\ldots,1,0)$, namely

$$(\vartheta_{(2n-1,1)}|\alpha_i^\vee)=1 \quad (i=0,\ldots,2n-2), \quad (\vartheta_{(2n-1,1)}|\alpha_{2n-1}^\vee)=0.$$

Note that

$$[\vartheta_{(2n-1,1)},\Lambda_k]=k\Lambda_k \quad (k\in\mathbb{N}).$$

The similarity reduction (2.5) associated with $\mathfrak{s}_{(2n-1,1)}$ is described as

$$[\vartheta_{(2n-1,1)}-M,\partial_1-B_1]=0, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$B_1=\sum_{i=0}^{2n-1}u_i\alpha_i^\vee+x_0e_0+x_{2n-1}e_{2n-1}+\Lambda_1,$$
$$M=\sum_{i=0}^{2n-1}\kappa_i\alpha_i^\vee+\sum_{i=0}^{2n-1}\varphi_ie_i+\varphi_{0,1}e_{0,1}+\varphi_{2n-2,1}e_{2n-2,1}+\varphi_{2n-1,1}e_{2n-1,1}+2\Lambda_2.$$

Note that

$$\Lambda_1=\sum_{i=1}^{2n-2}e_i+e_{2n-1,1}, \quad \Lambda_2=\sum_{i=1}^{2n-3}e_{i,1}+e_{2n-2,2}+e_{2n-1,2}.$$

4.2 Hamiltonian system

The operators B_1 and M are defined as the $\mathfrak{b}_+$-components of $\exp(\mathrm{ad}W)(\Lambda_1)$ and $\exp(\mathrm{ad}W)(\rho+t_1\Lambda_1+2\Lambda_2)$, respectively. The $\mathfrak{h}$-valued operator ρ is given by

$$\rho=\rho_1\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1}i\alpha_i^\vee,$$

where ρ_1 is independent of t_1. The $\mathfrak{n}_-$-valued function W is described as

$$W=-\sum_{i=0}^{2n+1}w_if_i-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{2n+1}w_{i,k}f_{i,k}.$$

In a similar manner given in Section 3.2, we obtain

Lemma 4.1. *The $\mathfrak{b}_+$-valued functions B_1 and M can be expressed in terms of the dependent variables w_0, w_{2n-1} and φ_i $(i = 0, \dots, 2n-1)$ as*

$$\begin{aligned}
&u_0 - u_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{2j} - w_0 w_{2n-1} - \frac{n-1}{2} t_1, \\
&u_{2i-1} - u_{2i} = -\sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{2j-1} - \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{2j} + w_0 w_{2n-1} + \frac{n}{2} t_1, \\
&u_{2i} - u_{2i+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{2j-1} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{2j} - w_0 w_{2n-1} - \frac{n-1}{2} t_1, \\
&u_{2n-1} - u_0 = w_0 w_{2n-1}, \quad x_0 = -w_{2n-1}, \quad x_{2n-1} = w_0,
\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_{0,1} = -2w_{2n-1}, \quad \varphi_{2n-2,1} = 2w_0, \\
&\varphi_{2n-1,1} = -\sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} \varphi_i + 2w_0 w_{2n-1} + (2n-1)t_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have a relation

$$\begin{aligned}
&w_0 \left\{ \varphi_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} w_{2n-1}\varphi_i + 2w_0 w_{2n-1}^2 + (2n-1)t_1 w_{2n-1} \right\} - w_{2n-1}\varphi_{2n-1} \\
&\quad = (2n-1)\rho_1 + \kappa_0 - \kappa_{2n-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Thanks to Lemma 4.1, we can express the system (4.1) as a system of ordinary differential equations in terms of the variables w_0, w_{2n-1} and φ_i $(i = 0, \dots, 2n-1)$.

The Kostant-Kirillov structure for the operator M is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\varphi_i, \varphi_{i+1}\} = -2(2n-1) \quad (i = 1, \dots, 2n-3), \\
&\{\varphi_{2n-2}, \varphi_{2n-1,1}\} = -2(2n-1), \quad \{\varphi_{2n-2,1}, \varphi_0\} = -2(2n-1), \\
&\{\varphi_{2n-1}, \varphi_{0,1}\} = -2(2n-1), \quad \{\varphi_{2n-1,1}, \varphi_1\} = -2(2n-1), \\
&\{\varphi_{2n-2}, \varphi_{2n-1}\} = -(2n-1)\varphi_{2n-2,1}, \quad \{\varphi_{2n-1}, \varphi_0\} = -(2n-1)\varphi_{2n-1,1}, \\
&\{\varphi_0, \varphi_1\} = -(2n-1)\varphi_{0,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is equivalent to

$$\{\mu_i, \lambda_j\} = (2n-1)\delta_{i,j} \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n+1),$$

via a transformation of dependent variables

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_1 = w_{2n-1}, \quad \mu_1 = \varphi_{2n-1},\\
&\lambda_i = -\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{2n-2j} + w_0 w_{2n-1}, \quad \mu_i = \varphi_{2n-2i+1},\\
&\lambda_{n+1} = w_0, \quad \mu_{n+1} = \varphi_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} w_{2n-1}\varphi_i + 2w_0 w_{2n-1}^2 + (2n-1)t_1 w_{2n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 2, \ldots, n$. Then those variables satisfy a Hamiltonian system; we do not give its explicit formula.

On the other hand, the equation (4.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d\mu_i \wedge d\lambda_i &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} d\mu_i \wedge d\lambda_i + d\frac{\lambda_1\mu_1}{\lambda_{n+1}} \wedge d\lambda_{n+1},\\
&= d\frac{\mu_1}{\lambda_n} \wedge d(\lambda_{n+1}\lambda_1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} d\mu_i \wedge d\lambda_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can take

$$\begin{aligned}
&q_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{2n-1}}\lambda_{n+1}\lambda_1, \quad p_1 = \frac{\mu_1}{\sqrt{2(2n-1)}\lambda_{n+1}},\\
&q_i = \sqrt{\frac{2}{2n-1}}\lambda_i, \quad p_i = \frac{\mu_i}{\sqrt{2(2n-1)}} \quad (i = 2, \ldots, n),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

as canonical coordinates of a $2n$-dimensional system with a Poisson structure

$$\{p_i, q_j\} = \delta_{i,j} \quad (i, j = 1, \ldots, n).$$

Denote the parameters by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_0 = \rho_1 + \frac{1-\kappa_0+\kappa_1}{2n-1}, \quad \alpha_1 = -\rho_1 - \frac{\kappa_0 - \kappa_{2n-1}}{2n-1},\\
&\alpha_i = \frac{(\kappa|\alpha_{2n-i+1}^\vee)}{2n-1} \quad (i = 2, \ldots, 2n-1)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa = \vartheta_{(2n-1,1)} - \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} \kappa_i \alpha_i^\vee.$$

Via a transformation of the independent variable $t = \sqrt{\frac{2n-1}{2}}t_1$, we arrive to

Theorem 4.2. *The variables q_i, p_i $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ defined by* (4.3) *satisfy the Painlevé system $P(A_{2n})$. Then the variable λ_{n+1} satisfies*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log \lambda_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j - \frac{n}{2n-1} t.$$

4.3 Lax form

In this subsection, we derive a Lax form for the Painlevé system $P(A_{2n})$ in a framework of $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}[z, z^{-1}]$.

Under the specialization $t_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{2n-1}} t$, $t_2 = 1$ and $t_k = 0$ $(k \geq 3)$, the Lax form (2.6) is described as

$$\frac{d\Psi}{dt} = B\Psi, \quad z\frac{d\Psi}{dz} = M\Psi. \tag{4.4}$$

The matrix B is given by

$$\begin{aligned} B = &\sum_{i=1}^{2n} u'_i E_{i,i} + x'_{2n-1} E_{2n-1,2n} \\ &+ x'_0 z E_{2n,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{2n-1}} z E_{i,i+1} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{2n-1}} z E_{2n-1,1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &u'_1 = q_n + \frac{n-1}{2n-1} t, \quad u'_{2i} = \sum_{j=1}^{i} p_{n-j+1} - q_{n-i+1} - \frac{n}{2n-1} t, \\ &u'_{2i+1} = -\sum_{j=1}^{i} p_{n-j+1} + q_{n-i} + \frac{n-1}{2n-1} t, \quad u'_{2n} = -q_1, \\ &x'_{2n-1} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{2n-1}} \lambda_{n+1}, \quad x'_0 = -\frac{q_1}{\lambda_{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. The matrix M is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M = &\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} (\kappa_i - \kappa_{i-1}) E_{i,i} + (\kappa_0 - \kappa_{2n-1}) E_{2n,2n} + \varphi_{2n-1} E_{2n-1,2n} \\ &+ \varphi_0 z E_{2n,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} \varphi_i z E_{i,i+1} + \varphi_{2n-2,1} z E_{2n-2,2n} + \varphi_{2n-1,1} z E_{2n-1,1} \\ &+ \varphi_{0,1} z^2 E_{2n,2} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-3} 2z^2 E_{i,i+2} + 2z^2 E_{2n-2,1} + 2z^2 E_{2n-1,2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi_{2n-1} = \sqrt{2(2n-1)}\lambda_{n+1}p_1, \quad \varphi_0 = \frac{2n-1}{\lambda_{n+1}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} q_1 p_j - q_1 q_n - tq_1 - \alpha_1\right),$$

$$\varphi_{2i-1} = \sqrt{2(2n-1)}p_{n-i+1}, \quad \varphi_{2i} = \sqrt{2(2n-1)}(q_{n-i} - q_{n-i+1}),$$

$$\varphi_{2n-2,1} = 2\lambda_{n+1}, \quad \varphi_{2n-1,1} = -\sqrt{2(2n-1)}\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n} p_j - q_n - t\right),$$

$$\varphi_{0,1} = -\frac{\sqrt{2(2n-1)}q_1}{\lambda_{n+1}}.$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Proposition 4.3. *The compatibility condition of the Lax form* (4.4) *gives the Painlevé system* $P(A_{2n})$.

5 For the partition $(2n, 1)$

The Painlevé system $P(A_{2n+1})$ is a Hamiltonian system of $2n$-th order with a coupled Painlevé V Hamiltonian

$$tH = \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{\mathrm{V}}\left[\alpha_{2i}, \sum_{j=1}^{i}\alpha_{2j-1}, \sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\alpha_{2j-1}; q_i, p_i\right] + \sum_{1\le i<j\le n} 2q_i p_i (q_j - 1)p_j,$$

where

$$H_{\mathrm{V}}[a, b, c; q, p] = q(q-1)p(p+t) + atq + bp - cqp.$$

It is known that $P(A_{2n+1})$ is derived from the hierarchy for the partition $(2n+2)$. In this section, we discuss its derivation from the hierarchy for a partition $(2n, 1)$, from which we obtain a new Lax pair for $P(A_{2n+1})$.

5.1 Similarity reduction of the DS hierarchy

Let

$$\Lambda_{k+2n(l-1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-k} e_{i,k-1+2n(l-1)} + \sum_{i=2n-k+1}^{2n} e_{i,k+2n(l-1)},$$

$$\bar{\Lambda}_{k+2n(l-1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-k} f_{i,k-1+2n(l-1)} + \sum_{i=2n-k+1}^{2n} f_{i,k+2n(l-1)},$$

for $k = 1, \ldots, 2n$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}_{(2n,1)}$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}(A_{2n}^{(1)})$ is expressed as

$$\mathfrak{s}_{(2n,1)} = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}\bar{\Lambda}_k \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}\Lambda_k.$$

The grading operator $\vartheta_{(2n,1)}$ is given by

$$\vartheta_{(2n,1)} = 2nd + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{i}{n}\left(2n+1-i-\frac{2}{2n+1}\right)\alpha_i^\vee.$$

It implies a $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation of type $(1, \ldots, 1, 0)$, namely

$$(\vartheta_{(2n,1)}|\alpha_i^\vee) = 1 \quad (i = 0, \ldots, 2n-1), \quad (\vartheta_{(2n,1)}|\alpha_{2n}^\vee) = 0.$$

Note that

$$[\vartheta_{(2n,1)}, \Lambda_k] = k\Lambda_k \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The similarity reduction (2.5) associated with $\mathfrak{s}_{(2n,1)}$ is described as

$$[\vartheta_{(2n,1)} - M, \partial_1 - B_1] = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \sum_{i=0}^{2n} u_i\alpha_i^\vee + x_0e_0 + x_{2n}e_{2n} + \Lambda_1, \\ M &= \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \kappa_i\alpha_i^\vee + \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \varphi_ie_i + \varphi_{0,1}e_{0,1} + \varphi_{2n-1,1}e_{2n-1,1} + \varphi_{2n,1}e_{2n,1} + 2\Lambda_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\Lambda_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} e_i + e_{2n,1}, \quad \Lambda_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} e_{i,1} + e_{2n-1,2} + e_{2n,2}.$$

5.2 Hamiltonian system

The operators B_1 and M are defined as the $\mathfrak{b}_+$-components of $\exp(\mathrm{ad}W)(\Lambda_1)$ and $\exp(\mathrm{ad}W)(\rho + t_1\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2)$, respectively. The $\mathfrak{h}$-valued operator ρ is given by

$$\rho = \rho_1 \sum_{i=1}^{2n} i\alpha_i^\vee,$$

where ρ_1 is independent of t_1. The $\mathfrak{n}_-$-valued function W is described as

$$W = -\sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} w_i f_i - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} w_{i,k} f_{i,k}.$$

In a similar manner given in Section 3.2, we obtain

Lemma 5.1. *The $\mathfrak{b}_+$-valued functions B_1 and M can be expressed in terms of the dependent variables w_0, φ_0, φ_i $(i = 2, \dots, 2n-1)$ and w_{2n}, φ_{2n} as*

$$\begin{aligned}
u_0 - u_1 ={}& \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1}{2nt_1}\varphi_{2j+1}\varphi_{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{nt_1} w_0 w_{2n}\varphi_{2j} - \frac{1}{nt_1} w_{2n}\varphi_{2n} \\
&+ \frac{n+1}{4}t_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\rho_1 + \kappa_{2j-1} - \kappa_{2j}}{nt_1} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{2j} - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{2n,1}, \\
u_{2i-1} - u_{2i} ={}& -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1}{2nt_1}\varphi_{2j+1}\varphi_{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{nt_1} w_0 w_{2n}\varphi_{2j} + \frac{1}{nt_1} w_{2n}\varphi_{2n} \\
&- \frac{n-1}{4}t_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\rho_1 + \kappa_{2j-1} - \kappa_{2j}}{nt_1} \\
&- w_0 w_{2n} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{2j} + \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{2j+1}, \\
u_{2i} - u_{2i+1} ={}& \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1}{2nt_1}\varphi_{2j+1}\varphi_{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{nt_1} w_0 w_{2n}\varphi_{2j} - \frac{1}{nt_1} w_{2n}\varphi_{2n} \\
&+ \frac{n+1}{4}t_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\rho_1 + \kappa_{2j-1} - \kappa_{2j}}{nt_1} \\
&+ w_0 w_{2n} - \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{2j} - \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{2j+1}, \\
u_{2n-1} - u_{2n} ={}& -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1}{2nt_1}\varphi_{2j+1}\varphi_{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{nt_1} w_0 w_{2n}\varphi_{2j} + \frac{1}{nt_1} w_{2n}\varphi_{2n} \\
&- \frac{n-1}{4}t_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\rho_1 + \kappa_{2j-1} - \kappa_{2j}}{nt_1} - w_0 w_{2n} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{2j}, \\
u_{2n} - u_0 ={}& w_0 w_{2n},
\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$x_0 = -w_{2n}, \quad x_{2n} = w_0,$$

and

$$\varphi_1 = -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \varphi_{2j+1} + 2w_0 w_{2n} + nt_1,$$

$$\varphi_{0,1} = -2w_{2n}, \quad \varphi_{2n-1,1} = 2w_0, \quad \varphi_{2n,1} = -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \varphi_{2j} + nt_1.$$

Furthermore, we have a relation

$$w_0(\varphi_0 + nt_1 w_{2n}) - w_{2n}\left(\varphi_{2n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_{2n}\varphi_{2i}\right) = 2n\rho_1 + \kappa_0 - \kappa_{2n}. \tag{5.2}$$

Thanks to Lemma 5.1, we can express the system (5.1) as a system of ordinary differential equations in terms of the variables w_0, φ_0, φ_i $(i = 2, \ldots, 2n-1)$ and w_{2n}, φ_{2n}.

The Kostant-Kirillov structure for the operator M is defined by

$$\begin{gathered}\{\varphi_i, \varphi_{i+1}\} = -4n \quad (i = 1, \ldots, 2n-2), \quad \{\varphi_{2n-1}, \varphi_{2n,1}\} = -4n, \\ \{\varphi_{2n-1,1}, \varphi_0\} = -4n, \quad \{\varphi_{2n}, \varphi_{0,1}\} = -4n, \quad \{\varphi_{2n,1}, \varphi_1\} = -4n, \\ \{\varphi_{2n-1}, \varphi_{2n}\} = -2n\varphi_{2n-1,1}, \quad \{\varphi_{2n}, \varphi_0\} = -2n\varphi_{2n,1}, \quad \{\varphi_0, \varphi_1\} = -2n\varphi_{0,1}.\end{gathered}$$

It is equivalent to

$$\{\mu_i, \lambda_j\} = 2n\delta_{i,j} \quad (i, j = 1, \ldots, n+1),$$

via a transformation of dependent variables

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \varphi_{2j}, \quad \mu_i = \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{2i+1} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n-1), \\ &\lambda_n = \varphi_{2n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_0\varphi_{2i}, \quad \mu_n = -w_{2n}, \\ &\lambda_{n+1} = w_0, \quad \mu_{n+1} = \varphi_0 + nt_1 w_{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then those variables satisfy a Hamiltonian system; we do not give its explicit formula.

On the other hand, the equation (5.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} d\mu_i \wedge d\lambda_i &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} d\mu_i \wedge d\lambda_i - d\frac{\lambda_n\mu_n}{\lambda_{n+1}} \wedge d\lambda_{n+1}, \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d\mu_i \wedge d\lambda_i + d(\lambda_{n+1}\mu_n) \wedge d\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can take

$$\begin{aligned} q_i &= \frac{\lambda_i}{nt_1}, \quad p_i = \frac{t_1\mu_i}{2} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1), \\ q_n &= \frac{\lambda_n}{nt_1\lambda_{n+1}}, \quad p_n = \frac{t_1\lambda_{n+1}\mu_n}{2}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

as canonical coordinates of a $2n$-dimensional system with a Poisson structure

$$\{p_i, q_j\} = \delta_{i,j} \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n).$$

Denote the parameters by

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_i = \frac{(\kappa|\alpha^\vee_{i+1})}{2n} \quad (i = 0, \dots, 2n-1), \\ &\alpha_{2n} = -\rho_1 - \frac{\kappa_0 - \kappa_{2n}}{2n}, \quad \alpha_{2n+1} = \rho_1 + \frac{1 - \kappa_0 + \kappa_1}{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa = \vartheta_{(2n,1)} - \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \kappa_i\alpha^\vee_i.$$

Via a transformation of the independent variable $t = -\frac{n}{4}t_1^2$, we arrive to

Theorem 5.2. *The variables q_i, p_i $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ defined by* (5.3) *satisfy the Painlevé system $P(A_{2n+1})$. Then the variable λ_{n+1} satisfies*

$$t\frac{d}{dt}\log\lambda_{n+1} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_ip_i - tq_n + \frac{n+1}{2}t - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(\alpha_{2j} - \alpha_{2j+1}).$$

5.3 Lax form

In this subsection, we derive a Lax form for the Painlevé system $P(A_{2n+1})$ in a framework of $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n+1}[z, z^{-1}]$.

Under the specialization $t_1 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-nt}}$, $t_2 = 1$ and $t_k = 0$ $(k \geq 3)$, the Lax form (2.6) is described as

$$t\frac{d\Psi}{dt} = B\Psi, \quad z\frac{d\Psi}{dz} = M\Psi. \tag{5.4}$$

The matrix B is given by

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 = &\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} u'_iE_{i,i} + x'_{2n}E_{2n,2n+1} \\ &+ x'_0zE_{2n+1,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-nt}}zE_{i,i+1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-nt}}zE_{2n,1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
u'_1 &= -\sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j p_j - \frac{n-1}{2n}t - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(\alpha_{2j}-\alpha_{2j+1}),\\
u'_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}(q_j-1)p_j - \frac{n-1}{2n}t + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(\alpha_{2j}-\alpha_{2j+1}),\\
u'_{2i+1} &= -\sum_{j=1}^{n}(q_j-1)p_j - tq_i + \frac{n+1}{2n}t - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(\alpha_{2j}-\alpha_{2j+1}),\\
u'_{2i+2} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}(q_j-1)p_j + tq_i - \frac{n-1}{2n}t + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(\alpha_{2j}-\alpha_{2j+1}),\\
u'_{2n+1} &= \frac{1}{2}p_n,
\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and

$$x_{2n} = \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\sqrt{-nt}}, \quad x_0 = \frac{1}{2\lambda_{n+1}}p_n.$$

The matrix M is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
M = &\sum_{i=1}^{2n}(\kappa_i-\kappa_{i-1})E_{i,i} + (\kappa_0-\kappa_{2n})E_{2n+1,2n+1} + \varphi_{2n}E_{2n,2n+1}\\
&+ \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1}\varphi_i z E_{i,i+1} + \varphi_{2n-1,1}zE_{2n-1,2n+1} + \varphi_{2n,1}zE_{2n,1}\\
&+ \varphi_{0,1}z^2E_{2n+1,2} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2}2z^2E_{i,i+2} + 2z^2E_{2n-1,1} + 2z^2E_{2n,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_0 = -\frac{2n}{\lambda_{n+1}}\{(q_n-1)p_n+\alpha_{2n}\}, \quad \varphi_1 = -2\sqrt{-nt}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}p_j + t\right),\\
&\varphi_2 = \frac{2n}{\sqrt{-nt}}q_1, \quad \varphi_{2i-1} = -2\sqrt{-nt}p_{i-1} \quad (i=2,\ldots,n),\\
&\varphi_{2i} = \frac{2n}{\sqrt{-nt}}(q_i-q_{i-1}) \quad (i=2,\ldots,n-1), \quad \varphi_{2n} = \frac{2n\lambda_{n+1}}{\sqrt{-nt}}(q_n-q_{n-1}),\\
&\varphi_{2n-1,1} = 2\lambda_{n+1}, \quad \varphi_{2n,1} = -\frac{2n}{\sqrt{-nt}}(q_{n-1}-1), \quad \varphi_{0,1} = \frac{2\sqrt{-nt}}{\lambda_{n+1}}p_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.3. *The compatibility condition of the Lax form* (5.4) *gives the Painlevé system* $P(A_{2n+1})$.

6 For the partition $(n, n, 1)$

In Section 3, we have derived the Painlevé system $P(A^*_{2n+1})$ from the hierarchy for the partition $(n+1, n+1)$. In this section, we discuss its derivation from the hierarchy for a partition $(n, n, 1)$, from which we obtain another Lax pair for $P(A^*_{2n+1})$.

6.1 Similarity reduction of the DS hierarchy

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{2k-1+2n(l-1)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} e_{2i-1,2k-1+(2n+1)(l-1)} + \sum_{i=n-k+1}^{n} e_{2i-1,2k+(2n+1)(l-1)}, \\
\Lambda_{2k+2n(l-1)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} e_{2i,2k-1+(2n+1)(l-1)} + \sum_{i=n-k+1}^{n} e_{2i,2k+(2n+1)(l-1)}, \\
\bar{\Lambda}_{2k-1+2n(l-1)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} f_{2i-1,2k-1+(2n+1)(l-1)} + \sum_{i=n-k+1}^{n} f_{2i-1,2k+(2n+1)(l-1)}, \\
\bar{\Lambda}_{2k+2n(l-1)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} f_{2i,2k-1+(2n+1)(l-1)} + \sum_{i=n-k+1}^{n} f_{2i,2k+(2n+1)(l-1)},
\end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, \ldots, n$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}_{(n,n,1)}$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}(A^{(1)}_{2n})$ is expressed as

$$\mathfrak{s}_{(n,n,1)} = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}\bar{\Lambda}_k \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}\Lambda_k.$$

The grading operator $\vartheta_{(n,n,1)}$ is given by

$$\vartheta_{(n,n,1)} = nd + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (n-i+1)\left(i - \frac{n}{2n+1}\right)\alpha^\vee_{2i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} i\left(\frac{2n}{2n+1} - i\right)\alpha^\vee_{2i}.$$

It implies a $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation of type $(0, 1, 0, \ldots, 1, 0)$, namely

$$(\vartheta_{(n,n,1)}|\alpha^\vee_0) = 0, \quad (\vartheta_{(n,n,1)}|\alpha^\vee_{2i-1}) = 1, \quad (\vartheta_{(n,n,1)}|\alpha^\vee_{2i}) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n).$$

Note that

$$[\vartheta_{(n,n,1)}, \Lambda_{2k-1}] = k\Lambda_{2k-1}, \quad [\vartheta_{(n,n,1)}, \Lambda_{2k}] = k\Lambda_{2k} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The similarity reduction (2.5) associated with $\mathfrak{s}_{(n,n,1)}$ is described as

$$[\vartheta_{(n,n,1)} - M, \partial_1 - B_1] = 0, \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$B_1=\sum_{i=0}^{2n}u_i\alpha_i^\vee+\sum_{i=0}^{2n}x_ie_i+x_{2n-1,1}e_{2n-1,1}+x_{2n,1}e_{2n,1}+\Lambda_1,$$

$$M=\sum_{i=0}^{2n}\kappa_i\alpha_i^\vee+\sum_{i=0}^{2n}\varphi_ie_i+\varphi_{0,1}e_{0,1}+\varphi_{2n-1,1}e_{2n-1,1}+\varphi_{2n,1}e_{2n,1}+t_1\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2.$$

Note that

$$\Lambda_1=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}e_{2i-1,1}+e_{2n-1,2},\quad \Lambda_2=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}e_{2i,1}+e_{2n,2}.$$

6.2 Hamiltonian system

The operators B_1 and M are defined as the $\mathfrak{b}_+$-components of $\exp(\mathrm{ad}W)(\Lambda_1)$ and $\exp(\mathrm{ad}W)(\rho+2t_1\Lambda_1+2\Lambda_2)$, respectively. The $\mathfrak{h}$-valued operator ρ is given by

$$\rho=\rho_1\sum_{i=1}^{n}2i(\alpha_{2i-1}^\vee+\alpha_{2i}^\vee)+\rho_2\sum_{i=1}^{n}2(n-i+1)(\alpha_{2i-2}^\vee+\alpha_{2i-1}^\vee),$$

where ρ_1,ρ_2 are independent of t_1. The $\mathfrak{n}_-$-valued function W is described as

$$W=-\sum_{i=0}^{2n+1}w_if_i-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{2n+1}w_{i,k}f_{i,k}.$$

In a similar manner given in Section 3.2, we obtain

Lemma 6.1. *The $\mathfrak{b}_+$-valued functions B_1 and M can be expressed in terms of the dependent variables w_0,φ_0, w_{2i-1},φ_{2i-1} $(i=1,\dots,n)$ and w_{2n},φ_{2n} as*

$$\begin{aligned}u_{2i-2}-u_{2i-1}&=-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\frac{t_1^{n-i+j-1}}{t_1^n-1}w_{2i-1}\varphi_{2j-1}-\sum_{j=i}^{n}\frac{t_1^{j-i-1}}{t_1^n-1}w_{2i-1}\varphi_{2j-1}\\&\quad+\frac{t_1^{n-i}}{t_1^n-1}w_0w_{2i-1}w_{2n}+\frac{1}{t_1}(\rho_1+\kappa_{2i-2}-\kappa_{2i-1}),\\u_{2i-1}-u_{2i}&=\sum_{j=1}^{i}\frac{t_1^{n-i+j-1}}{t_1^n-1}w_{2i-1}\varphi_{2j-1}+\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}\frac{t_1^{j-i-1}}{t_1^n-1}w_{2i-1}\varphi_{2j-1}\\&\quad-\frac{t_1^{n-i}}{t_1^n-1}w_0w_{2i-1}w_{2n},\\u_{2n}-u_0&=\frac{1}{t_1}w_0(\varphi_0-w_1w_{2n}),\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&x_0 = \frac{1}{t_1}(\varphi_0 - w_1 w_{2n}),\\
&x_{2i-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{t_1^{n-i+j-1}}{t_1^n - 1}\varphi_{2j-1} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \frac{t_1^{j-i-1}}{t_1^n - 1}\varphi_{2j-1} - \frac{t_1^{n-i}}{t_1^n - 1} w_0 w_{2n},\\
&x_{2i} = -w_{2i-1}, \quad x_{2n-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{t_1^{j-1}}{t_1^n - 1}\varphi_{2j-1} - \frac{1}{t_1^n - 1} w_0 w_{2n},\\
&x_{2n} = w_0 w_{2n-1}, \quad x_{2n-1,1} = -w_0, \quad x_{2n,1} = -w_{2n-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ *and*

$$\varphi_{2i} = -t_1 w_{2i-1} + w_{2i+1} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n-1), \quad \varphi_{2n,1} = -t_1 w_{2n-1} + w_1.$$

Furthermore, we have a relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{2i-1}\varphi_{2i-1} + w_0(\varphi_0 - w_1 w_{2n}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\rho_1 + \kappa_{2i-2} - \kappa_{2i-1}),\\
&\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{2i-1}\varphi_{2i-1} + w_{2n}(\varphi_{2n} - t_1 w_0 w_{2n-1}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\rho_2 - \kappa_{2i-1} + \kappa_{2i}).
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.2}
$$

Thanks to Lemma 6.1, we can express the system (6.1) as a system of ordinary differential equations in terms of the variables w_0, φ_0, w_{2i-1}, φ_{2i-1} $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ and w_{2n}, φ_{2n}. Note that

$$w_{2i-1} = -\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{t_1^{i-j-1}}{t_1^n - 1}\varphi_{2j} - \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \frac{t_1^{n+i-j-1}}{t_1^n - 1}\varphi_{2j} - \frac{t_1^{i-1}}{t_1^n - 1}\varphi_{2n,1},$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

The Kostant-Kirillov structure for the operator M is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{\varphi_{2i-1}, \varphi_{2i}\} = -n t_1, \quad \{\varphi_{2i}, \varphi_{2i+1}\} = -n \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n-1),\\
&\{\varphi_{2n-1}, \varphi_{2n,1}\} = -n t_1, \quad \{\varphi_{2n,1}, \varphi_1\} = -n,\\
&\{\varphi_{2n-1,1}, \varphi_0\} = -n t_1, \quad \{\varphi_{2n}, \varphi_{0,1}\} = -n,\\
&\{\varphi_0, \varphi_1\} = -n\varphi_{0,1}, \quad \{\varphi_{2n-1}, \varphi_{2n}\} = -n\varphi_{2n-1,1}, \quad \{\varphi_{2n}, \varphi_0\} = -n\varphi_{2n,1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is equivalent to

$$\{\mu_i, \lambda_j\} = n\delta_{i,j} \quad (i, j = 1, \ldots, n+2),$$

via a transformation of dependent variables

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_i = w_{2i-1}, \quad \mu_i = \varphi_{2i-1} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n),\\
&\lambda_{n+1} = w_0, \quad \mu_{n+1} = -\varphi_0 + w_1 w_{2n},\\
&\lambda_{n+2} = w_{2n}, \quad \mu_{n+2} = -\varphi_{2n} + t_1 w_0 w_{2n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then those variables satisfy a Hamiltonian system; we do not give its explicit formula.

On the other hand, the equation (6.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} d\mu_i \wedge d\lambda_i &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} d\mu_i \wedge d\lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d\mu_{n+1} \wedge d\frac{\lambda_i \mu_i}{\mu_{n+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d\frac{\lambda_i \mu_i}{\lambda_{n+2}} \wedge d\lambda_{n+2},\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} d\frac{\mu_{n+1}\mu_i}{\lambda_{n+2}} \wedge d\frac{\lambda_{n+2}\lambda_i}{\mu_{n+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can take

$$q_i = \frac{\lambda_{n+2}}{t_1^{i-1}\mu_{n+1}}\lambda_i, \quad p_i = \frac{t_1^{i-1}\mu_{n+1}}{n\lambda_{n+2}}\mu_i \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n), \tag{6.3}$$

as canonical coordinates of a $2n$-dimensional system with a Poisson structure

$$\{p_i, q_j\} = \delta_{i,j} \quad (i, j = 1, \ldots, n).$$

Denote the parameters by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_i = \frac{(\kappa|\alpha_i^\vee)}{n} \quad (i = 0, \ldots, 2n-1), \quad \alpha_{2n} = \rho_1 - \rho_2 + \frac{\kappa_0 - \kappa_{2n}}{n},\\
&\alpha_{2n+1} = -\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \frac{1 + \kappa_{2n-1} - \kappa_{2n}}{n}, \quad \eta = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\rho_1 + \kappa_{2j-2} - \kappa_{2j-1}}{n},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa = \vartheta_{(n,n,1)} - \sum_{i=0}^{2n} \kappa_i \alpha_i^\vee.$$

Via a transformation of the independent variable $t = t_1^{-n}$, we arrive to

Theorem 6.2. *The variables* q_i, p_i $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ *defined by* (6.3) *satisfy the*

Painlevé system $P(A^*_{2n+1})$*. Then the variables* μ_{n+1}, λ_{n+2} *satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} t(t-1)\frac{d}{dt}\log\mu_{n+1} &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i\{(q_i-1)p_i+\alpha_{2i-1}\} - \alpha_{2n}t - \frac{t-n-1}{n}\eta \\ &\quad - \left\{\frac{n+1}{2n}\alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{n-2j+1}{2n}(\alpha_{2j-1}+\alpha_{2j})\right\}(t-1), \\ t(t-1)\frac{d}{dt}\log\lambda_{n+2} &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} t(q_i-1)p_i - t\eta. \end{aligned}$$

6.3 Lax form

In this subsection, we derive a Lax form for the Painlevé system $P(A^*_{2n+1})$ in a framework of $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n+1}[z,z^{-1}]$.

Under the specialization $t_1 = t^{-n}$, $t_2 = 1$ and $t_k = 0$ $(k \geq 3)$, the Lax form (2.6) is described as

$$t(t-1)\frac{d\Psi}{dt} = B\Psi, \quad z\frac{d\Psi}{dz} = M\Psi. \tag{6.4}$$

The matrix B is given by

$$\begin{aligned} B = &\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} u'_i E_{i,i} + x'_0 E_{2n+1,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x'_{2i} E_{2i,2i+1} + x'_{2n,1}E_{2n,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x'_{2i-1} z E_{2i-1,2i} \\ &+ x'_{2n-1,1} z E_{2n-1,2n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\frac{t-1}{nt^{1/n}} z E_{2i-1,2i} - \frac{t-1}{nt^{1/n}} z E_{2n-1,1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u'_{2i-1} &= -q_i\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(q_j-1)p_j + \sum_{j=i}^{n}(q_j-t)p_j + \eta\right\} + \frac{t-1}{n}\eta \\ &\quad - \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\frac{j}{n}(\alpha_{2j-1}+\alpha_{2j}-\frac{1}{n}) - \sum_{j=i}^{n-1}\frac{n-j}{n}(\alpha_{2j-1}+\alpha_{2j}-\frac{1}{n})\right\}(t-1), \\ u'_{2i} &= q_i\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{i}(q_j-1)p_j + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n}(q_j-t)p_j + \eta\right\}, \\ u'_{2n+1} &= -(t-1)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} q_j p_j + \eta\right), \end{aligned}$$

for $i=1,\dots,n$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&x'_0=\frac{(t-1)\mu_{n+1}}{n},\quad x'_{2i}=\frac{(t-1)\mu_{n+1}}{nt^{i/n}\lambda_{n+2}}q_i\quad (i=1,\dots,n-1),\\
&x'_{2n}=-\frac{t-1}{\lambda_{n+2}}q_n\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}q_jp_j+\eta\right),\quad x'_{2n,1}=\frac{(t-1)\mu_{n+1}}{n\lambda_{n+2}}q_n,\\
&x'_{2i-1}=-\frac{t^{(i-1)/n}\lambda_{n+2}}{\mu_{n+1}}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{i}(q_j-1)p_j+\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}(q_j-t)p_j+\eta\right\}\quad (i=1,\dots,n),\\
&x'_{2n-1,1}=\frac{t-1}{t^{1/n}\mu_{n+1}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}q_jp_j+\eta\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

The matrix M is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
M=&\sum_{i=1}^{2n}(\kappa_i-\kappa_{i-1})E_{i,i}+(\kappa_0-\kappa_{2n})E_{2n+1,2n+1}+\varphi_0E_{2n+1,1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\varphi_{2i}E_{2i,2i+1}\\
&+\varphi_{2n,1}E_{2n,1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\varphi_{2i-1}zE_{2i-1,2i}+\varphi_{0,1}zE_{2n+1,2}+\varphi_{2n-1,1}zE_{2n-1,2n+1}\\
&+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}t^{-1/n}zE_{2i-1,2i+1}+t^{-1/n}zE_{2n-1,1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}zE_{2i,2i+2}+zE_{2n,2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\varphi_0=\mu_{n+1}(q_1-1),\quad \varphi_{2i}=-\frac{\mu_{n+1}}{t^{i/n}\lambda_{n+2}}(q_i-q_{i+1})\quad (i=1,\dots,n-1),\\
&\varphi_{2n}=\frac{n}{t\lambda_{n+2}}\left\{(q_n-t)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}q_jp_j+\eta\right)+\alpha_{2n}t\right\},\\
&\varphi_{2n,1}=\frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n+2}}(q_1-tq_n),\quad \varphi_{2i-1}=\frac{nt^{(i-1)/n}\lambda_{n+2}}{\mu_{n+1}}p_i\quad (i=1,\dots,n),\\
&\varphi_{0,1}=\lambda_{n+2},\quad \varphi_{2n-1,1}=-\frac{n}{t^{1/n}\mu_{n+1}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}q_jp_j+\eta\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 6.3. *The compatibility condition of the Lax form* (6.4) *gives the Painlevé system* $P(A^{*}_{2n+1})$.

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Professors Laszlo Fehér, Kenta Fuji, Saburo Kakei, Hidetaka Sakai, Teruhisa Tsuda and Yasuhiko Yamada for valuable discus-

sions and advices.

References

[1] V. E. Adler, Nonlinear chains and Painlevé equations, Phys. D **73** (1994) 330-351.

[2] M. J. Ablowitz and H. Segur, Exact linearization of a Painlevé transcendent, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 1103-1106.

[3] M. J. Bergvelt and A. P. E. ten Kroode, Partitions, vertex operator constructions and multi-component KP equations, Pacific J. Math. **171** (1995) 23-88.

[4] F. Delduc and L. Fehér, Regular conjugacy classes in the Weyl group and integral hierarchies, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **28** (1995) 5843-5882.

[5] V. G. Drinfel'd and V. V. Sokolov, Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type, J. Sov. Math. **30** (1985) 1975-2036.

[6] L. Fehér, J. Harnad and I. Marshall, Generalized Drinfeld-Sokolov reductions and KdV type hierarchies, Comm. Math. Phys. **154** (1993) 181-214.

[7] K. Fuji and T. Suzuki, Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies of type A and fourth order Painlevé systems, Funkcial. Ekvac. **53** (2010) 143-167.

[8] M. F. de Groot, T. J. Hollowood and J. L. Miramontes, Generalized Drinfeld-Sokolov hierarchies, Comm. Math. Phys. **145** (1992) 57-84.

[9] V. G. Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, Cambridge University Press (1990).

[10] T. Kikuchi, T. Ikeda and S. Kakei, Similarity reduction of the modified Yajima-Oikawa equation, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36** (2003) 11465-11480.

[11] S. Kakei and T. Kikuchi, Affine Lie group approach to a derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation and its similarity reduction, Int. Math. Res. Not. **78** (2004) 4181-4209.

[12] S. Kakei and T. Kikuchi, The sixth Painlevé equation as similarity reduction of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_3$ hierarchy, Lett. Math. Phys. **79** (2007) 221-234.

[13] F. ten Kroode and J. van de Leur, Bosonic and fermionic realizations of the affine algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$, Comm. Math. Phys. **137** (1991) 67-107.

[14] V. G. Kac and D. Peterson, 112 constructions of the basic representation of the roop group of E_8, in Symposium on Anomalies, Geometry ans Topology, ed. W. A. Baedeen and A. R. White (World Scientific, 1985) 276-298.

[15] M. Noumi and Y. Yamada, Higher order Painlevé equations of type $A_l^{(1)}$, Funkcial. Ekvac. **41** (1998) 483-503.

[16] M. Noumi and Y. Yamada, Birational Weyl group action arising from a nilpotent Poisson algebra, in Physics and Combinatorics 1999, Proceedings of the Nagoya 1999 International Workshop, ed. A.N.Kirillov, A.Tsuchiya and H.Umemura, (World Scientific, 2001) 287-319.

[17] H. Sakai, Isomonodromic deformation and 4-dimensional Painlevé type equations, UTMS **2010-17** (Univ. of Tokyo 2010) 1-21.

[18] Y. Sasano and Y.Yamada, Symmetry and holomorphy of Painlevé type systems, RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu **B2** (2007) 215-225.

[19] T. Tsuda, UC hierarchy and monodromy preserving deformation, MI Preprint Series **7** (Kyushu Univ., 2010) 1-31.

[20] K. Ueno and K. Takasaki, Toda lattice hierarchy, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **4** (1984) 1-95.